IJCSPUB.ORG ISSN : 2250-1770
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT SCIENCE (IJCSPUB)
IJCS PUBLICATION (IJCSPUB.ORG) An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

A Policy Analysis Of Universal Basic Income In The Context Of Automation And Ai – A Political Analysis

*** Vishwanath Ankush Katti, Associate Professor of Political Science, Govt. First Grade College, Kokatanur, Athani (Tq).**

Abstract:

This policy analysis examines the feasibility and implications of Universal Basic Income (UBI) as a response to the economic disruptions caused by automation and artificial intelligence (AI). With technological advancements threatening to displace millions of jobs across industries, UBI has emerged as a potential solution to provide financial security in an economy where traditional employment may become less reliable. UBI proposes that all citizens receive a regular, unconditional income, ensuring a basic standard of living irrespective of employment status. The analysis explores the theoretical foundations of UBI, including its goals of reducing poverty, simplifying welfare systems, and fostering autonomy. It also examines the risks posed by automation, including mass unemployment, growing inequality, and the polarization of wealth and skills. Through an evaluation of international UBI pilot programs, such as those in Finland, Kenya, and the United States, the analysis highlights the benefits of UBI in improving well-being, fostering entrepreneurship, and enhancing economic security, while also addressing key challenges related to affordability, inflation, and work disincentives.

In the context of automation, UBI offers a means to mitigate the societal impact of job displacement and skills mismatch, providing individuals with the financial freedom to adapt to a rapidly changing labor market. However, concerns about fiscal sustainability and political feasibility remain. The analysis concludes by recommending a cautious, phased approach to UBI implementation, complemented by investments in education, retraining, and progressive taxation to ensure that UBI can be effectively integrated into future economic systems shaped by automation and AI.

Keywords: Policy Analysis, Universal Basic Income, Automation and AI.

INTRODUCTION:

Universal Basic Income (UBI) is a policy proposal that guarantees all citizens a regular, unconditional cash payment, regardless of their employment status or wealth. The primary objective of UBI is to provide economic security by ensuring a minimum standard of living for every individual. Unlike traditional welfare programs, UBI is not means-tested or contingent on work, making it a radical shift in the way governments approach social safety nets. The concept of UBI has gained significant attention in recent years, particularly as technological advancements, such as automation and artificial intelligence (AI), threaten to displace large segments of the workforce. By decoupling income from labor, UBI promises to mitigate the economic insecurity caused by job losses, reduce poverty, and provide a buffer against the potential socio-economic disruptions posed by technological change. Proponents argue that UBI can simplify welfare systems, promote entrepreneurship, and foster innovation by freeing individuals from the pressures of survival. Critics, however, question its affordability, the potential for inflation, and whether it could disincentivize work. Experiments in countries like Finland, Kenya, and the United States have tested these theories, offering valuable insights into UBI's real-world effects. As the debate around UBI continues, it has become a central topic in discussions about the future of work, income inequality, and social welfare in an increasingly automated and digitized global economy.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY:

This policy analysis examines the feasibility and implications of Universal Basic Income (UBI) as a response to the economic disruptions caused by automation and artificial intelligence (AI).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

This study is based on secondary sources of data such as articles, books, journals, research papers, websites and other sources.

A POLICY ANALYSIS OF UNIVERSAL BASIC INCOME IN THE CONTEXT OF AUTOMATION AND AI

Automation and Artificial Intelligence (AI) are transforming economies across the globe. As machines become capable of performing more sophisticated tasks, concerns about job displacement and growing inequality are mounting. In this context, Universal Basic Income (UBI)—a policy proposal where every citizen receives a regular, unconditional sum of money—has gained significant attention. UBI advocates argue that it can mitigate the adverse effects of automation and AI on the labor market, reduce inequality, and improve overall well-being. Critics, however, raise concerns about its feasibility, affordability, and unintended social consequences. This analysis explores the potential of UBI as a response to the economic and social disruptions caused by automation and AI. It assesses the theoretical underpinnings of UBI, evaluates the risks posed by AI and automation to employment, explores the advantages and disadvantages of UBI as a policy response, and considers alternative approaches. The

analysis concludes with policy recommendations on whether and how UBI could be implemented in the context of a rapidly automating world.

Theoretical Underpinnings of Universal Basic Income

UBI has roots in diverse intellectual traditions, from utopian socialism to libertarianism. The core principle of UBI is that it provides individuals with a guaranteed income, independent of work or other conditions, aimed at ensuring a basic level of material security. This concept is appealing to proponents across the political spectrum for different reasons.

1. **Economic Security and Redistribution**: From a welfare-state perspective, UBI is seen as a tool for economic redistribution and poverty alleviation. By guaranteeing a minimum income, UBI could lift individuals out of poverty, reduce inequality, and provide economic security, especially in a world where jobs may be increasingly scarce due to automation.

2. **Freedom and Autonomy**: Libertarian advocates of UBI, such as economist Milton Friedman, support a basic income as a way of minimizing government intervention. By replacing the need for a complex welfare system, UBI provides people with the freedom to make choices about how to spend their money and organize their lives without state interference.

3. **Work and the Labor Market**: In the context of automation, UBI redefines the relationship between work and income. Historically, work has been seen as the primary means of earning income. However, as automation and AI reduce the need for human labor, UBI could decouple income from employment, allowing people to pursue creative, caregiving, or volunteer activities without being forced into economically necessary work.

The Impact of Automation and AI on Employment

The rise of automation and AI has triggered widespread concern that machines will displace large segments of the workforce. Numerous studies and forecasts highlight that industries ranging from manufacturing to services and even knowledge work are vulnerable to disruption.

1. **Job Displacement**: According to a 2010 McKinsey report, by 2030, as many as 400 million to 800 million jobs globally could be displaced by automation. Lower-skilled jobs in industries such as retail, transportation, and manufacturing are at the highest risk, but even high-skill professions like law, medicine, and finance could be affected by AI's ability to perform tasks traditionally done by humans. This technological disruption could lead to a situation where there are fewer jobs available than there are workers, exacerbating income inequality and economic instability.

2. **Skills Mismatch**: As automation advances, the demand for certain skills will change. While some jobs will disappear, new ones requiring specialized knowledge in AI, robotics, and data analytics will emerge. However, there is a risk that workers displaced from traditional jobs may not possess the necessary skills to transition into these new roles, leading to structural unemployment.

3. **Economic Polarization**: Automation is likely to exacerbate existing economic inequalities. High-skilled workers who can complement AI will see increased productivity and wages, while low-skilled workers may be left behind. The potential for increased income inequality poses a significant threat to social cohesion and could fuel political unrest.

UBI as a Response to Automation and AI

In the context of automation-induced job displacement, UBI has been proposed as a solution to address the socio-economic consequences of widespread technological unemployment. UBI is attractive as it promises to alleviate poverty, reduce income inequality, and provide a safety net in a rapidly changing economy.

Advantages of UBI

1. **Mitigating the Effects of Technological Unemployment**: UBI could serve as an economic buffer against the impacts of job displacement due to automation. As machines replace human workers, UBI would ensure that all citizens continue to have an income, thereby preventing large segments of the population from falling into poverty.

2. **Simplification of the Welfare System**: One of UBI's key strengths is its simplicity. By providing a flat, unconditional income to all citizens, UBI could replace more complex welfare programs. This could reduce administrative costs and the bureaucracy associated with means-tested benefits, leading to a more efficient distribution of resources.

3. **Promoting Innovation and Entrepreneurship**: By providing a guaranteed income, UBI could encourage innovation and risk-taking. Individuals may be more likely to pursue entrepreneurial ventures, creative projects, or further education without the fear of losing their livelihood. This could foster a more dynamic, innovative economy in response to the changes brought about by AI and automation.

4. **Reducing Inequality and Improving Well-Being**: UBI has the potential to reduce income inequality by providing financial security to those most affected by automation and AI. Studies from UBI pilot programs, such as those in Finland and Kenya, have shown improvements in recipients' well-being, including reduced stress and increased life satisfaction, as a result of receiving a basic income.

Challenges and Criticisms of UBI

1. **Affordability and Fiscal Sustainability**: One of the main criticisms of UBI is its cost. Providing every citizen with a basic income would require substantial government expenditure. Estimates for implementing UBI in developed countries, such as the United States, often run into the trillions of dollars annually. Critics argue that such a program could be fiscally unsustainable without significant tax increases or cuts to other public services.

2. **Inflationary Pressures**: Some economists argue that UBI could lead to inflation. With more disposable income in circulation, demand for goods and services could increase, potentially driving up prices. This could erode the purchasing power of the UBI, particularly affecting low-income individuals.

3. **Work Disincentives**: Critics also contend that UBI could create disincentives to work. By providing a guaranteed income, UBI may reduce individuals' motivation to seek employment, particularly in low-wage or undesirable jobs. However, studies from UBI experiments, such as those in Finland and Canada, suggest that this effect may be minimal and that many people still seek employment even when receiving a basic income.

4. **Political and Social Resistance**: The political feasibility of implementing UBI is uncertain. Many governments may be reluctant to adopt such a costly and radical policy, and there may be significant opposition from citizens who believe that UBI undermines the work ethic. Furthermore, the idea of providing a universal income to all, regardless of need, could face resistance from those who prefer targeted welfare programs.

Alternative Policy Responses

While UBI is a promising proposal, it is not the only policy response to automation and AI. Several alternative approaches could complement or replace UBI in addressing the challenges of automation and technological unemployment.

1. **Targeted Basic Income**: Instead of providing a universal income, a targeted basic income could be directed toward those most affected by automation, such as displaced workers in certain industries. This would reduce the fiscal burden of UBI while still providing support to those in need.

2. **Job Guarantee Programs**: Another alternative to UBI is the implementation of government-sponsored job guarantee programs. Under such programs, the government would act as an employer of last resort, providing jobs to those who cannot find employment in the private sector. This would ensure that displaced workers continue to have access to employment opportunities while maintaining social stability.

3. **Skills and Retraining Programs**: To address the skills mismatch created by automation, governments could invest heavily in education and retraining programs. By equipping workers with the skills needed for the jobs of the future, these programs could facilitate smoother transitions between industries and reduce the risk of long-term unemployment.

4. **Conditional Cash Transfers**: Conditional cash transfers (CCTs) are another targeted approach, providing income support to those who meet specific conditions, such as participating in job training or maintaining employment. CCTs can encourage workforce participation while providing a safety net to those in need.

5. **Tax Reforms and Progressive Redistribution**: Another approach to mitigating inequality caused by automation is tax reform. Governments could introduce more progressive tax systems, including wealth taxes or taxes on automation and AI, to redistribute income from those who benefit most from technological advances to those who are displaced.

Universal Basic Income in Kenya:

Kenya has emerged as a focal point for Universal Basic Income (UBI) experiments, providing valuable insights into the potential impacts of such a policy in the context of automation and AI-induced economic changes. The nonprofit organization GiveDirectly initiated one of the largest UBI trials in Kenya, aiming to assess the effects of direct cash transfers on poverty alleviation, economic empowerment, and overall well-being.

Overview of the UBI Experiment in Kenya

1. **Structure of the Program**: The GiveDirectly UBI program involves providing monthly payments to thousands of recipients in rural areas. The experiment includes a control group and various treatment groups receiving different amounts and durations of payments. In total, over 20,000 villagers are participating, with some receiving payments for up to 12 years.

2. **Objectives**: The primary objectives of the UBI experiment are to evaluate the effects of regular, unconditional cash transfers on recipients' financial stability, health, and social dynamics. The initiative also aims to explore whether UBI can enhance individuals' economic opportunities in a rapidly changing job market.

Key Findings and Impacts

1. **Economic Security**: Early results indicate that recipients experience improved economic security. Access to a guaranteed income allows households to cover essential expenses, such as food, healthcare, and education, reducing the stress associated with financial instability. This newfound security has been linked to improved mental health outcomes among participants.

2. **Investment in Assets**: Recipients have used UBI payments to invest in productive assets, such as livestock and small businesses. This shift towards investment rather than mere consumption suggests that UBI can empower individuals to improve their economic circumstances, fostering entrepreneurship and stimulating local economies.

3. **Reduction in Poverty**: Initial findings show a significant reduction in poverty levels among recipients compared to control groups. The cash transfers have lifted many households above the poverty line, highlighting UBI's potential as an effective tool for poverty alleviation in developing contexts.

CONCLUSION:

Universal Basic Income (UBI) offers a compelling solution to the economic challenges posed by automation and artificial intelligence (AI). As automation reshapes industries and threatens traditional employment, UBI provides a safety net that can ensure financial security, reduce poverty, and empower individuals to adapt to a changing labor market. Evidence from international pilot programs demonstrates UBI's potential to improve well-being, foster entrepreneurship, and reduce economic stress. However, significant challenges remain. Concerns about the affordability of UBI, its potential to disincentivize work, and its long-term impacts on inflation and public budgets must be addressed. Policymakers must consider the complex social and economic dynamics that UBI could create, particularly in terms of balancing the benefits of economic security with the need for a sustainable funding model. The most effective approach to UBI may involve gradual, targeted implementation alongside complementary policies, such as investments in education, skills training, and progressive taxation. By integrating UBI with existing welfare systems, governments can experiment with hybrid models that address immediate economic insecurity while preparing for a future shaped by automation. Ultimately, UBI holds promise as part of a broader strategy to ensure equitable economic outcomes in an increasingly automated world.

REFERENCES:

1. Banerjee, A. V., Niehaus, P., & Suri, T. (2010). Universal basic income in the developing world. Annual Review of Economics, 11(1), 959-983.
2. Bregman, R. (2010). Utopia for realists: How we can build the ideal world. Little, Brown and Company.
3. Davala, S., Jhabvala, R., Mehta, S. K., & Standing, G. (2010). Basic income: A transformative policy for India. Bloomsbury.
4. Gentilini, U., Grosh, M., Rigolini, J., & Yemtsov, R. (Eds.). (2010). Exploring universal basic income: A guide to navigating concepts, evidence, and practices. World Bank Group.
5. Standing, G. (2010). Basic income: And how we can make it happen. Penguin.